arXiv:1005.0119v4 [math.AT] 23 Jul 2010

STRUCTURE OF THE MODULI STACK OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL FORMAL A-MODULES.

ANDREW SALCH

Abstract. We prove right unit and coproduct formulas and a Landweber-type classification of invariant prime ideals in the Hopf algebroid classifying formal A-modules, when A is a p-adic number ring; this implies a purity result for the A-height stratification on the moduli stack of one-dimensional formal A-modules. We also compute a presentation, including a coproduct formula, for the Morava stabilizer algebras for formal A-modules, i.e., the Hopf algebras co-representing the automorphism group schemes of each positive, finite A-height formal A-modules. In future work we apply these results to the computation of the cohomology of large-height Morava stabilizer groups.

1. Introduction.

The E_2-term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence is the cohomology of the Hopf algebroid (BP_*, BP_*BP) of stable co-operations on Brown-Peterson homology BP_*. By a result of Quillen in [9], we know that this Hopf algebroid is isomorphic to the classifying Hopf algebroid (V, VT) of p-typical formal group laws, or equivalently, the classifying Hopf algebroid $(V^{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}, V^{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}T)$ of p-typical formal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-module laws. From section 21.5 of [6] we know that there also exists a classifying Hopf algebroid for formal A-module laws, when A is the completion at p or localization at p of any number ring; and we know the structure of V^A and V^AT as rings. In this paper we derive a formula for the right unit (Prop. 2.10) and coproduct (Cor. 6.1) maps in (V^A, V^AT), as well. The map $(BP_*, BP_*BP) \rightarrow (V^A, V^AT)$, which classifies the underlying formal group law of the universal formal A-module law, has some very interesting properties which we explore in [13]; in particular, the map it induces on the level of the Morava stabilizer algebras (and its analogue for formal A-module laws, which we describe and compute in this paper) is very useful for making computations of the cohomology of Morava stabilizer groups at large height. Those computations, and the computations in stable homotopy theory which they permit (which we will give in later papers in this series), are the real motivation for the entire project of studying the moduli theory and classifying Hopf algebroids of formal A-modules.

One has Landweber's classification of invariant prime ideals in (BP_*, BP_*BP), and its many consequences (e.g. the structure of the chromatic resolution). Since the invariant prime ideals of (V, VT) are those ideals I such that $(V/I, VT/I)$ classifies formal group laws of p-height $\geq n$ for some positive integer n (or $n = \infty$), one knows that every quotient Hopf algebroid (R, Γ) of (V, VT), with R an integral domain, classifies some family of p-typical formal group laws subject to a height condition. This is most easily expressed in the language of stacks: the moduli stack

---

Date: May 2010.

$\mathcal{M}_{fm\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of one-dimensional formal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-modules (which is the stack canonically associated to (V, VT)) admits a stratification by closed reduced irreducible substacks $\mathcal{M}^{\geq h}_{fm\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, which classify the formal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-modules of p-height $\geq h$. We prove analogues of these results for formal A-modules: a Landweber-type classification of invariant prime ideals in (V^A, V^AT) (Prop. 3.8), and an associated A-height stratification of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ of formal A-modules (Prop. 3.11).

One knows, from e.g. 18.6.4 of [6], that one-dimensional formal A-module laws over separably closed fields are classified by an invariant called A-height; and one knows that the strict automorphism group of an A-height h formal module law over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is isomorphic to the pro-p-Sylow subgroup of $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}$, the group of units in the maximal order of the invariant $1/h$ division algebra with center the fraction field $K(A)$ of A. The continuous $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$-linear dual of the topological group algebra $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[\mathrm{Syl}_p(\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}})]$ is a V^AT-comodule, and a commutative Hopf algebra; we compute this Hopf algebra, including its coproduct, in Cor. 5.6 and Cor. 6.9. In the case $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, these are the Morava stabilizer algebras, and computing their cohomology (i.e., Ext in the category of comodules over these Hopf algebras) is the input for the chromatic computational machinery of [10]. The Hopf algebras we describe in these paper, the analogues of Morava stabilizer algebras for formal A-modules, are quotients of the (classical) Morava stabilizer algebras, and the restriction maps they induce in cohomology are very useful for making computations at large heights—a computational strategy we will explore thoroughly in later papers.

In this paper we prove statements about certain Hopf algebroids, and we are also going to state these results in the language of stacks, using the equivalence of formally smooth fpqc Hopf algebroids and Ravenel stacks; such an equivalence also holds for formally smooth fpqc I-adic Hopf algebroids and Ravenel stacks fibered over formal schemes with ideal of definitions I. See [11] for results about stacks and Hopf algebroids in this level of generality.

The author would like to gratefully acknowledge the guidance and generous help of Doug Ravenel during the author's time as a graduate student, when most of this paper was written.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF (V^A, V^AT).

In this section we prove basic formulas that hold in (V^A, V^AT) which we will use extensively in the rest of the paper. This section culminates with Prop. 2.10, a formula for the right unit map in (V^A, V^AT); in the case when $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, this recovers the computation of the right unit map in the Hopf algebroid (BP_*, BP_*BP) as in e.g. Thm. 4.3.18 of [10].

Let A be the localization at p or the completion at p of a number ring, and suppose that A has residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and uniformizer π. We recall from e.g. [6] that a *one-dimensional formal A-module law* over a commutative A-algebra R is a one-dimensional formal group law F over R together with an injection of rings $A \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{End}(F)$ such that $\rho(a)(X) \equiv aX$ modulo X^2; a one-dimensional formal A-module law F is said to be *A-typical* if it is obtained by change of scalars from a formal A-module law F' with a logarithm of the form

$$\log_{F'}(X) = X + \sum_{i \geq 1} b_i X^{q^i}$$

for some set of scalars $\{b_i\}$. (Note that q appears in the definition of A-typicality, but π does not.) If F is A-typical and R has characteristic p, then the power series $\rho(\pi)$ satisfies the congruence

$$\rho(\pi)(X) \equiv aX^{q^i} \mod X^{q^i+1}$$

for some positive integer i and some scalar $a \in R$, and the *A-height* of F is defined to be this i. All formal A-module laws in this paper are assumed to be one-dimensional. We recall that the classifying Hopf algebroid $(V^A, V^A T)$ for A-typical one-dimensional A-module laws has the form

$$V^A \cong A[v_1^A, v_2^A, \dots] \cong A[V_1^A, V_2^A, \dots]$$

with $\{v_i^A\}$ the Araki generators, i.e., if the universal formal A-module law on V^A has fgl-logarithm

$$\lim_{h\to\infty} p^{-h}[p^h](x) = \log(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \ell_i^A x^{p^i},$$

then the log coefficients ℓ_i^A satisfy

$$\pi\ell_h^A = \sum_{i=0}^{h} \ell_i^A (v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}, \tag{2.1}$$

and $\{V_i^A\}$ the Hazewinkel generators, which satisfy

$$\pi\ell_h^A = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \ell_i^A (V_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}, \tag{2.2}$$

The Araki v_i^A agrees mod π with the Hazewinkel V_i^A. The ring $V^A T$ classifying strict isomorphisms of formal A-module laws has a traditional set of generators:

$$V^A T \cong V^A[t_1^A, t_2^A, \dots].$$

We use the same generators t_i^A for $V^A T$ regardless of the choice of Araki or Hazewinkel generators for V^A.

One can apply Spec to the Hopf algebroid $(V^A, V^A T)$ to get a prestack, then apply the stackification functor to get a rigidified fpqc stack; see e.g. [8] or [11] for this process. Using methods similar to those in [5] or [14] it is not difficult to show that the rigidified fpqc stack associated to $(V^A, V^A T)$ is the moduli stack of one-dimensional formal A-modules and their *strict* isomorphisms, equipped with a presentation by the affine scheme $\operatorname{Spec} V^A$ (or $\operatorname{Spf} V^A$, in the case that A is a p-adic number ring). We will refer to this stack as $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$, the moduli stack of 1-dimensional formal A-modules, with the understanding that it classifies only *strict* isomorphisms of formal modules and not *all* isomorphisms.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F \xrightarrow{f} G$ be an isomorphism of formal A-module laws with logarithms over a commutative A-algebra. Then*

$$\log_G(X) = \log_F(f^{-1}(X)).$$

Proof. Since f^{-1} is also a well-defined morphism of formal A-module laws, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(G(X,Y)) &= F(f^{-1}(X), f^{-1}(Y)) \\ &= \log_F^{-1}(\log_F(f^{-1}(X)) + \log_F(f^{-1}(Y))). \end{aligned}$$

We apply f to both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} G(X,Y) &= f(\log_F^{-1}(\log_F(f^{-1}(X)) + \log_F(f^{-1}(Y)))) \\ &= (\log_F \circ f^{-1})^{-1}((\log_F \circ f^{-1})(X) + (\log_F \circ f^{-1})(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

So $(\log_F \circ f^{-1})$ is a logarithm for G. By the uniqueness of the logarithm, we have $\log_G(X) = (\log_F \circ f^{-1})(Y)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F \xrightarrow{f} G$ be an isomorphism of formal A-module laws with logarithms, and let F be A-typical. Then G is A-typical if and only if*

$$f^{-1}(X) = \sum_{i\geq 0}{}^F t_i X^{q^i}$$

for some collection $\{t_i \in A\}$ with $t_0 = 1$.

Proof. Assume that f^{-1} is of the above form. Let F, G be defined over the commutative ring R. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log_G(X) &= \log_F(f^{-1}(X)) \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0} \log_F(t_i X^{q^i}) \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{j\geq 0} m_j (t_i X^{q^i})^{q^j} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\{m_j \in R \otimes_A K\}$, since F is A-typical. Reindexing,

$$= \sum_{i\geq 0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} m_j t_{i-j}^{q^j}\right) X^{q^i},$$

so G is A-typical.

Now assume that F, G are both A-typical with logarithms

$$\begin{aligned} \log_F(X) &= \sum_{i\geq 0} f_1(\ell_i^A) X^{q^i}, \\ \log_G(X) &= \sum_{i\geq 0} f_2(\ell_i^A) X^{q^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we let

$$t_i = f_2(\ell_i^A) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f_1(\ell_{i-j}^A) t_j^{q^{i-j}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \log_G(X) &= \sum_{i\geq 0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} f_1(\ell_i^A) t_{i-j}^{q^j}\right) X^{q^i} \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{j\geq 0} f_1(\ell_j^A)(t_i X^{q^i})^{q^j} \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0} \log_F(t_i X^{q^i}) \\ &= \log_F(f^{-1}(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(X) &= \log_F^{-1}\left(\sum_{i\geq 0}\log_F(t_iX^{q^i})\right) \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0}{}^F t_iX^{q^i}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We recall that the way that the Hopf algebroid (V^A, V^AT) works is that, given two A-typical formal A-module laws F, G over a commutative A-algebra R which are classified by maps $f, g : V^A \longrightarrow R$ and a strict isomorphism $\gamma : F \longrightarrow G$, there exists a map $\Gamma : V^AT \longrightarrow R$ classifying γ, i.e., $\Gamma \circ \eta_L = f_1$ and $\Gamma \circ \eta_R = f_2$. Now, if F and G have logarithms, $\log_F(X) = \sum_{i\geq 0} f_1(\ell_1^A)X^{q^i}$ and

$$\log_G(X) = \sum_{i\geq 0} f_2(\ell_i^A)X^{q^i} = \sum_{i\geq 0}(\Gamma\circ\eta_R)(\ell_i^A)X^{q^i}.$$

The left unit is, with either the Araki or Hazewinkel generators, the obvious isomorphism of V^A on to the degree zero part of V^AT. The right unit is much more subtle and will figure prominently in much of what follows.

Lemma 2.3. (1) *Let R be a commutative A-algebra such that the algebra structure map $A \to R$ is injective, let $V^A \xrightarrow{\gamma} R\otimes_A K$ be a morphism of commutative A-algebras, and let h, j be positive integers. Suppose $\gamma(\ell_i^A) = 0$ for all $i < j$ with $h \nmid i$. Then $\gamma(V_i^A) = 0$ for all $i < j$ with $h \nmid i$.*

(2) *Let R be a commutative A-algebra such that the algebra structure map $A \to R$ is injective, let $V^A \xrightarrow{\gamma} R\otimes_A K$ be a morphism of commutative A-algebras, and let h be a positive integer. Suppose $\gamma(\ell_i^A) = 0$ for all i with $h \nmid i$. Then $\gamma(V_i^A) = 0$ for all i with $h \nmid i$.*

Proof. (1) First, if $h = 1$, the proposition is vacuous. If $h > 1$, then $\gamma(\ell_1^A) = \pi_A^{-1}V_1^A = 0$ implies $\gamma(V_1^A) = 0$.

Now we proceed by induction. Let j' be a positive integer, $j' < j$. Suppose $\gamma(V_i^A) = 0$ for all $i < j'$ with $h \nmid i$. We show that $\gamma(V_{j'}^A) = 0$ if $h \nmid i$: assume $h \nmid j'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \gamma(\ell_{j'}^A) \\ &= \pi_A^{-1}\sum_{a=0}^{j-1}\gamma(\ell_a^A)\gamma(V_{j-a}^A)^{q^a} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since either $h \nmid a$ or $h \nmid (j-a)$ for each a in the sum.

(2) This follows from the first part of the lemma, by induction on j.

□

Definition 2.4. *We consider sequences $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ of positive integers; if $J = (j_1, \dots j_n)$ is another such sequence then we define $IJ = (i_1, \dots, i_m, j_1, \dots j_n)$, and this concatenation puts a monoid structure on the set of all such sequences.*

We define several operations and operators on these sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} I'' &= (i_1+i_2, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_m),\\ I''' &= (i_2, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_m),\\ |I| &= m,\\ ||I|| &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} i_m, \end{aligned}$$

and, given a choice of p-adic number ring A, we define the integer-valued function Π_A on all such sequences by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_A(\varnothing) &= 1,\\ \Pi_A(h) &= \pi_A - \pi_A^{q^h} \text{ for } h \text{ an integer},\\ \Pi_A(I) &= \Pi_A(||I||)\Pi_A((i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Given a sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of positive integers and a p-local or p-adic number ring A with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$, we will sometimes write v_I^A to mean the element in V^A defined recursively by

$$v^A_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n)} = v^A_{i_1}\left(v^A_{(j_2,\dots,j_n)}\right)^{q^{i_1}},$$

and likewise, we will sometimes write t_I^A to mean the element in V^AT defined recursively by

$$t^A_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n)} = t^A_{i_1}\left(t^A_{(j_2,\dots,j_n)}\right)^{q^{i_1}}.$$

Proposition 2.5. (Generalized Witt polynomials.) *For each sequence I and number ring A as above and any choice of positive integer m there is a symmetric polynomial $w_I^A = w_I^A(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)$, in m variables, of degree $q^{||I||}$, and with coefficients in A, where $w_\varnothing^A = \sum_{t=1}^m x_t$ and*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{m} x_t^{q^{||K||}} = \sum_{IJ=K} \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(I)} (w_J^A)^{q^{||I||}} \quad \text{for any fixed choice of sequence } K, \text{ and} \tag{2.3}$$

$$w_I^A \equiv (w_{|I|}^A)^{q^{||I||-|I|}} \mod \pi_A, \tag{2.4}$$

where w_i^A (note that the subscript i is an integer, not a sequence of integers!) is the symmetric polynomial defined by

$$\sum_{t=1}^{m} x_t^{q^k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \pi^j (w_j^A)^{q^{k-j}}$$

with $w_0^A = w_\varnothing^A = \sum_{t=1}^m x_t$. (Note that w_j^A is, in general, not the same as $w_{(j)}^A$.)

Proof. This will be an inductive proof. Assume that the proposition is true for all sequences I with $|I| < m$. Then let $K = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$. Obviously $|K''| = |K'''|$ and

$||K'''|| + k_1 = ||K''|| = ||K||$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^A_{K''} &\equiv_{\pi_A} (w^A_{|K''|})^{q^{||K''||-|K''|}} \\ &= (w^A_{|K'''|})^{q^{k_1+||K'''||-|K'''|}} \\ &= \left((w^A_{|K'''|})^{q^{||K'''||-|K'''|}}\right)^{q^{k_1}} \\ &\equiv_{\pi_A} (w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}}, \text{ so} \\ w^A_{K''} &\equiv_{\pi_A} (w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We need a simple-minded lemma about the function Π_A and the double-prime operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_A(K) &= \prod_{i=1}^{|K|} \Pi_A(||(k_1,\dots,k_i)||) \\ &= \Pi_A(||(k_1)||)\prod_{i=2}^{|K|} \Pi_A(||(k_1+k_2,k_3,\dots,k_i)||) \\ &= \Pi_A(k_1)\Pi_A(K''). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{IJ=K''} \frac{\Pi_A(K'')}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}} &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} x_t^{q^{||K''||}} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} x_t^{q^{||K||}} \\ &= \sum_{IJ=K} \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}} \end{aligned}$$

and, partially expanding each side, from the identity $\Pi_A(K) = \Pi_A(K'')\Pi_A(k_1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi_q(K)w^A_K + \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(k_1)}(w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{IJ=K,|I|\geq 2} \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}} = \sum_{IJ=K} \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}} \\ &\qquad = \sum_{IJ=K''} \frac{\Pi_A(K'')}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}} \\ &\qquad = \Pi_A(K'')w^A_{K''} + \sum_{IJ=K'',|I|\geq 1} \frac{\Pi_A(K'')}{\Pi_A(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}}. \end{aligned}$$

We compare the sums $\sum_{IJ=K,|I|\geq 2} \frac{\Pi_q(K)}{\Pi_q(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}}$ and $\sum_{IJ=K'',|I|\geq 1} \frac{\Pi_q(K'')}{\Pi_q(I)}(w^A_J)^{q^{||I||}}$: in the first, we sum over the sequences

$$\{I_2 = (k_1,k_2), I_3 = (k_1,k_2,k_3), I_4 = (k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4),\dots, I_m = (k_1,\dots,k_m)\}$$

and in the second, we sum over the sequences

$$\{I'_2 = (k_1+k_2), I'_3 = (k_1+k_2,k_3), I'_4 = (k_1+k_2,k_3,k_4),\dots, I'_m = (k_1+k_2,k_3,\dots,k_m)\}.$$

Now for each i let J_i, J'_i be the sequences such that $I_iJ_i = K$ and $I'_iJ'_i = K''$ (so $J_i = J'_i$ for $i > 1$), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(I_i)}(w^A_{J_i})^{q^{||I_i||}} &= \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(k_1)\prod_{j=2}^{i}\Pi_A(||I_j||)}(w^A_{J_i})^{q^{||I_i||}} \\
&= \frac{\Pi_A(K)}{\Pi_A(k_1)\Pi_A(k_1+k_2)\prod_{j=3}^{i}\Pi_A(||I'_j||)}(w^A_{J_i})^{q^{||I_i||}} \\
&= \frac{\Pi_A(K'')}{\Pi_A(k_1+k_2)\prod_{j=3}^{i}\Pi_A(||I'_j||)}(w^A_{J'_i})^{q^{||I'_i||}} \\
&= \frac{\Pi_A(K'')}{\Pi_A(I'_i)}(w^A_{J'_i})^{q^{||I'_i||}},
\end{aligned}$$

giving us

$$\Pi_A(K)\left(w^A_K + \frac{(w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}}}{\Pi_A(k_1)}\right) = \Pi_A(K'')w^A_{K''},$$

that is,

$$w^A_K = \frac{w^A_{K''} - (w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}}}{\Pi_A(k_1)}.$$

Now, by equation 2.5, $w^A_{K''} - (w^A_{K'''})^{q^{k_1}}$ is divisible by π_A, and by the definition of Π_A, we have $\Pi_A(k_1) \equiv \pi_A \mod \pi_A^2$. Hence w^A_K has coefficients in A, and by construction, it satisfies equations 2.3 and 2.4. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let A be a p-adic number ring, let R be a commutative A-algebra, and let $S, R_1, R_2, \ldots$ be ideals in R. Let $x, y \in R$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
x &\equiv y \mod \pi S + \sum_{i>0} R_i \text{ implies} \\
x^{q^h} &\equiv y^{q^h} \mod \pi^{h+1}S + \sum_{j=0}^{h}\pi^j\sum_{i>0}R_i^{q^{h-j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin with the case $h = 1$. Suppose $x = y + \pi s + \sum_{i>0} r_i$, with $s \in R$ and $r_i \in R_i$ (obviously all but finitely many of the r_i must be zero). Then

$$x^q = y^q + \sum_{0<j<q}\binom{q}{j}y^{q-j}\left(\pi s + \sum_{i>0} r_i\right)^j + \left(\pi s + \sum_{i>0} r_i\right)^q,$$

and $\binom{q}{j}y^{q-j}\left(\pi s + \sum_{i>0} r_i\right)^j \in \pi^2 S + \pi\sum_{i>0} A_i$ for $0 < j < q$, and $(\pi s + \sum_{i>0} r_i)^q \in \pi^2 S + \pi\sum_{i>0} A_i + \sum_{i>0} A_i^q$.

Now we take care of the inductive step: suppose we know that

$$(x')^{q^h} \equiv (y')^{q^h} \mod \pi^{h+1}S' + \sum_{j=0}^{h}\pi^j\sum_{i>0}(R'_i)^{q^{h-j}},$$

for some h. Then let $S = \pi S'$ and let $R_1, R_2, \ldots$ be the sequence of ideals $R_1^q, R_2^q, \ldots, \pi R_1, \pi R_2, \ldots$, and apply the $h = 1$ case, with $x = (x')^{q^h}$, $y = (y')^{q^h}$, to get the $h + 1$ case of the stated proposition. $\square$

Hazewinkel has a convenient formula for ℓ_i^A in terms of his generators; we wish to derive a similar formula in terms of the Araki generators, and then to rewrite the formula using some of the combinatorics we have developed.

Proposition 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi - \pi^{q^h})\ell_h^A &= \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_r=h} \left(v_{i_1}^A \prod_{j=2}^{r} \frac{(v_{i_j}^A)^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}}{\pi - \pi^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}} \right) \quad \textit{and} \\ \ell_h^A &= \sum_{||I||=h} \frac{v_I^A}{\Pi_A(I)},\end{aligned}$$

where all i_j are positive integers, and the I are sequences of positive integers with v_I^A as in Def. 2.4 and Π_A as in Prop. 2.5.

Proof. First, we note that the formula gives us $(\pi - \pi^q)\ell_1^A = v_1^A$, which is the correct answer, by equation (2.1).

We proceed by induction. Suppose the proposition holds for all ℓ_i^A with $1 \leq i < h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi - \pi^{q^h})\ell_h^A &= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \ell_i^A (v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{(v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}}{\pi - \pi^{q^i}} \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_r=i} \left(v_{i_1}^A \prod_{j=2}^{r} \frac{(v_{i_j}^A)^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}}{\pi - \pi^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_r=h} \left(v_{i_1}^A \prod_{j=2}^{r} \frac{(v_{i_j}^A)^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}}{\pi - \pi^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we note that there is an obvious bijection between sequences of integers $i_1, \ldots, i_r$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{r} i_j = h$ and sequences I with $||I|| = h$, and

$$\frac{v_{i_1}^A}{\pi - \pi^{q^h}} \prod_{j=2}^{r} \frac{(v_{i_j}^A)^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}}{\pi - \pi^{q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} i_k}}} = \frac{v_{(i_1,\ldots,i_r)}^A}{\Pi_A((i_1, \ldots, i_r))},$$

giving us the second equality. □

Corollary 2.8. *Given a sequence of elements $x_1, ..., x_m \in V^A$ we have*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{m}{}^F x_t = \sum_I{}^F v_I^A w_I^A(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m),$$

where F is the universal formal A-module law on V^A, and the right-hand sum is taken over all finite sequences I of positive integers.

Proof. From Prop.2.7 we have

$$\log_F(X) = \sum_I \frac{v_I^A X^{q^{||I||}}}{\Pi_A(I)},$$

and now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log_F\left(\sum_J {}^F v_J^A w_J^A\right) &= \sum_J \log_F(v_J^A w_J^A) \\ &= \sum_{I,J} \frac{v_{IJ}^A (w_J^A)^{q^{||I||}}}{\Pi_A(I)} \\ &= \sum_{I,J} \frac{v_{IJ}^A}{\Pi_A(IJ)} \frac{\Pi_A(IJ)}{\Pi_A(I)} (w_J^A)^{q^{||I||}} \\ &= \sum_{t,K} \frac{v_K^A}{\Pi_A(K)} x_t^{q^{||K||}} \\ &= \sum_t \log_F(x_t) \\ &= \log_F \sum_{t=1}^{m} {}^F x_t.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.9. *The right unit* $V^A \xrightarrow{\eta_R} V^A T$ *satisfies*

$$\eta_R(\ell_i^A) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \eta_L(\ell_j^A)\left(t_{i-j}^A\right)^{q^j},$$

where $t_0^A = \ell_0^A = 1$.

Proof. The identity map on $V^A T$ classifies the universal strict isomorphism of A-typical formal A-module laws, i.e., the strict isomorphism between the A-typical formal module law on $V^A T$ induced by η_L and the one induced by η_R. For the duration of this proof we will name them F and G, respectively, and we will let f be the strict isomorphism between them that is induced by the identity on $V^A T$. Both F and G have logarithms, so by Prop. 2.2, $f^{-1}(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0}{}^F t_i x^{q^i}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\log_G(x) &= \sum_{i\geq 0} \eta_R(\ell_i^A) x^{q^i} \\ &= \log_F(f^{-1}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0} \log_F(t_i x^{q^i}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \eta_L(\ell_j^A)(t_i x^{q^i})^{q^j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \eta_L(\ell_j^A) t_i^{q^j} x^{q^{i+j}}.\end{aligned}$$

We match the coefficients of the x^{q^i}-term to get $\eta_R(\ell_i^A) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \eta_L(\ell_j^A) t_{i-j}^{q^j}$. □

Proposition 2.10. (The right unit formula.) *The right unit* η_R *satisfies*

$$\sum_{i,j\geq 0}{}^F t_i^A \eta_R(v_j^A)^{q^i} = \sum_{i,j\geq 0}{}^F \eta_L(v_i^A)(t_j^A)^{q^i}$$

where F is the formal A-module law on V^AT induced by the left unit map η_L.

Proof. We apply η_R to equation 2.1:

$$\eta_R(\pi\ell_h^A) = \sum_{i=0}^{h} \eta_R(\ell_i^A)\eta_R(v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}$$

and now we use Prop. 2.9:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(\pi\ell_h^A) &= \pi\sum_{i=0}^{h} \eta_L(\ell_i^A)(t_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h}\sum_{j=0}^{i} \eta_L(\ell_j^A)(t_{i-j}^A)^{q^j}\eta_R(v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}\end{aligned}$$

Now we sum over all nonnegative h (in an ungraded situation this would be a heuristic move at best, but with the gradings on V^A and V^AT, there is only a finite number of elements in each degree in this sum, so this sum makes sense):

$$\sum_{h,i\geq 0}\pi\eta_L(\ell_i^A)(t_{h-i}^A)^{q^i} = \sum_{h,i,j\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_j^A)(t_{i-j}^{q^j})\eta_R(v_{h-i})^{q^i},$$

and now we reindex, substitute for $\pi\ell_i^A$ using formula 2.1, and then reindex again to get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_i^A)(t_j^A)^{q^i}\eta_R(v_k^A)^{q^{i+j}} &= \sum_{i,j\geq 0}\eta_L(\pi\ell_i^A)(t_j^A)^{q^i}\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_k^A(v_{i-j}^A)^{q^k})(t_j^A)^{q^i}\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_i^A)\eta_L(v_j^A)^{q^i}(t_k^A)^{q^{i+j}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now $\log_F(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_i^A)x^{q^i}$, so:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\log_F\left(t_j^A\eta_R(v_k^A)^{q^j}\right) &= \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_i^A)(t_j^A)^{q^i}\eta_R(v_k^A)^{q^{i+j}}\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\eta_L(\ell_i^A)\eta_L(v_j^A)^{q^i}(t_k^A)^{q^{i+j}}\\ &= \sum_{j,k\geq 0}\log_F\left(\eta_L(v_j^A)(t_k^A)^{q^j}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We apply $\log_F^{-1}$ to get the theorem as stated. ☐

Remark 2.11. If A is the localization at p of a number ring, then (V^A, V^AT) is just a Hopf algebroid, in the sense of [10]—a cogroupoid object in the category of commutative A-algebras. Hence the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ is fibered (in groupoids) over schemes over $\operatorname{Spec} A$, as one would expect. But if A is a p-adic number ring, i.e., the *completion* at p of a number ring, then (V^A, V^AT) is a topological Hopf algebroid: the rings V^A and V^AT inherit an adic topology from A. This topology on V^A and V^AT is important, for the following reason: we may want to consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ as fibered over schemes over $\operatorname{Spec} A$, *or* as fibered over formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} A$ with ideal of definition (π). In the second case, the

(π)-adic topology on V^A and on V^AT is what allows us to consider the Ravenel stack associated to (V^A, V^AT) as a stack fibered over formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} A$ with ideal of definition (π).

3. INVARIANT PRIMES.

In this section we classify all invariant prime ideals of (V^A, V^AT); or equivalently, all closed reduced irreducible substacks of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$. This is accomplished in Prop. 3.8. When $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, this recovers Landweber's classification of the invariant prime ideals of (BP_*, BP_*BP).

Let (R, Γ) be a Hopf algebroid with Γ flat over R. Recall that an ideal I of R is said to be *invariant* if $\eta_R(I) \subseteq \eta_L(I)\Gamma$, or equivalently, if $\eta_L(I) \subseteq \eta_R(I)\Gamma$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (R, Γ) be a Hopf algebroid and let $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of invariant ideals of (R, Γ), indexed by some set A. Then $I = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha$ is invariant.*

Proof. Choose $x \in I$ and an $\alpha \in A$ such that $x \in I_\alpha$. Then $\eta_L(x) \in \eta_R(I_\alpha)\Gamma \subseteq \eta_R(I)\Gamma$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let (R, Γ) be a Hopf algebroid, let $I \subset R$ be an invariant ideal, and let $j \in R$ be such that $\eta_L(j) \equiv \eta_R(j) \mod \eta_R(I)$ (or, equivalently, $\mod \eta_L(I)$). Then $I + (j)$ is an invariant ideal.*

Proof. Choose an element $x \in I + (j)$; we want to show that $\eta_L(x) \in \eta_R(I + (j))\Gamma$. Choose decompositions $x = i + jr$ and $\eta_L(j) = \eta_R(j) + \eta_R(i')$ and $\eta_L(i) = \eta_R(i'')\gamma$, where $i, i', i'' \in I$ and $r \in R$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_L(x) &= \eta_L(i) + \eta_L(j)\eta_L(r) \\ &= \eta_R(i'')\gamma + (\eta_R(j) + \eta_R(i'))\eta_L(r) \\ &\in \eta_R(I + (j))\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let (R, Γ) be a Hopf algebroid and let $I = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ be an ideal in R with $\eta_L(a_1) = \eta_R(a_1)$ and*

$$\eta_L(a_i) \equiv \eta_R(a_i) \mod (\eta_L(a_1), \dots, \eta_L(a_{i-1}))$$

for all $i > 1$. Then I is invariant.

Proof. Clearly $(a_1) \subseteq R$ is invariant. We use Prop. 3.2 for the inductive step, to get that $(a_1, \dots a_i) \subseteq R$ is invariant for all positive integers i; and, using Lemma 3.1, the union of all of these invariant ideals, I, is also invariant. □

We recall that $I_h^A = (\pi, v_1^A, \dots, v_{h-1}^A) \subseteq V^A$ for $h > 0$, and $I_0^A = (0)$.

Proposition 3.4. *I_h^A is invariant, and $\eta_L(v_h^A) \equiv \eta_R(v_h^A) \mod I_h^A$.*

Proof. Let $j < h$; we need to show that $\eta_R(v_j^A) \equiv 0 \mod \eta_L(I_h^A)$. Suppose $\eta_R(v_i^A) \equiv 0 \mod \eta_L(I_h^A)$ for all $i < j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_R(v_j^A) &\equiv \eta_R(v_j^A) + \sum_{i=1}^{j} \eta_R(\ell_i (v_{j-i}^A)^{q^i}) \\
&\equiv \eta_R((\pi_A - \pi_A^{q^j})^{-1} \ell_j^A) \text{ (using formula 2.1)} \\
&\equiv (\pi_A - \pi_A^{q^j})^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \eta_L(\ell_i^A) \left(t_{j-i}^A\right)^{q^i} \text{ (using Prop. 2.9)} \\
&\equiv (\pi_A - \pi_A^{q^j})^{-1} \eta_L(\ell_j^A) \\
&\equiv \eta_L\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} \ell_i^A \left(v_{j-i}^A\right)^{q^i}\right) \\
&\equiv 0 \mod I_h^A.
\end{aligned}$$

When $h > 1$ and $j = 1$ we have

$$\eta_R(v_1^A) = \eta_L(v_1^A) + (\pi_A - \pi_A^q) t_1^A \equiv 0 \mod I_2^A,$$

which starts the induction.

For the second part of the proposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_R(v_h^A) &\equiv \eta_R(v_h^A) + \sum_{i=1}^{h} \eta_R(\ell_i (v_{h-i}^A)^{q^i}) \\
&\equiv \eta_R((\pi_A + \pi_A^{q^h}) \ell_h^A) \\
&\equiv (\pi_A + \pi_A^{q^h}) \sum_{j=0}^{h} \eta_L(\ell_j^A) \left(t_{h-j}^A\right)^{q^j} \\
&\equiv (\pi_A + \pi_A^{q^h}) \eta_L(\ell_h^A) \\
&\equiv \eta_L(v_h^A) \mod I_h^A.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.5. *We put the following ordering on the Hazewinkel generators of* V^A*:*

$$V_i^A \leq V_j^A \quad \textit{iff} \quad i \leq j$$

and we put the lexicographic order on the monomials of V^A*.*

Proposition 3.6. *Let h be a positive integer and let k be the residue field of A. Then*

$$\mathrm{Cotor}^0_{V^A T}(V^A, V^A/I_h^A) \cong k[v_h^A] \textit{ and}$$
$$\mathrm{Cotor}^0_{V^A T}(V^A, V^A) \cong A.$$

Proof. We recall that $v_0^A = \pi_A$ and $I_0^A = (0)$ by convention.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cotor}^0_{V^A T}(V^A, V^A/I_h^A) &\cong V^A \square_{V^A T} (V^A/I_h^A) \\
&= \{x \in V^A/I_h^A : \psi(x) = 1 \otimes x \in V^A T \otimes_{V^A} (V^A/I_h^A)\} \\
&= \{x \in V^A/I_h^A : \eta_R(x) \equiv \eta_L(x) \mod I_h^A\},
\end{aligned}$$

following x through the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V^A/I^A_h \arrow[r, "\eta_R"] \arrow[dr] & V^AT/(\eta_R(I^A_h)) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
& V^AT\otimes_{V^A}(V^A/I^A_h).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now by Prop. 3.4, $\eta_R(v^A_h)^i \equiv \eta_L(v^A_h)^i \mod I^A_h$ for all positive integers i, so $A \subseteq V^A\square_{V^AT}V^A$, and $k[v^A_h] \subseteq V^A\square_{V^AT}(V^A/I^A_h)$ when $h>0$.

We must show that this exhausts $V^A\square_{V^AT}(V^A/I^A_h)$. We reduce the right unit formula (Prop. 2.10) modulo $I^A_h + (t^A_1, t^A_2, \dots, t^A_{j-1})$ and consider terms of equal grading to get

$$\begin{aligned}
t^A_j\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^j} +_F \eta_R(v^A_{h+j}) &\equiv (\eta_L(v^A_h)t^A_j)^{q^h}) +_F \eta_L(v^A_{h+j}) \\
&\quad \mod I^A_h + (t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}) \\
\sum_{i\geq 0} t^A_i\left((t^A_j\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^j})^{q^i} + \eta_R(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i}\right) &\equiv \log(t^A_j\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^j}) + \log(\eta_R(v^A_{h+j})) \\
&\equiv \log(\eta_L(v^A_h)t^A_j)^{q^h})) + \log\eta_L(v^A_{h+j}) \\
&\equiv \sum_{i\geq 0} t^A_i\left((\eta_L(v^A_h)(t^A_j)^{q^h})^{q^i} + \eta_L(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i}\right) \\
&\quad \mod I^A_h + (t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

In each of these two sums there is only one term in each grading, so we match gradings to get

$$\begin{aligned}
t^A_i\left((t^A_j)^{q^i}\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^{i+j}} + \eta_R(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i}\right) &\equiv t^A_i\left(\eta_L(v^A_h)^{q^i}(t^A_j)^{q^{h+i}} + \eta_L(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i}\right) \\
&\quad \mod I^A_h + (t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the ideal $(\eta_L(I^A_h, t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}))$ is prime in V^AT, so

$$V^AT/(\eta_L(I^A_h, t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1})) \cong V^AT/(\eta_R(I^A_h, t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}))$$

has no zero divisors and so for $i\geq j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(t^A_j\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^j} + \eta_R(v^A_{h+j}))^{q^i} &\equiv (t^A_j)^{q^i}\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^{i+j}} + \eta_R(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i} \\
&\equiv \eta_L(v^A_h)^{q^i}(t^A_j)^{q^{h+i}} + \eta_L(v^A_{h+j})^{q^i} \\
&\equiv (\eta_L(v^A_h)(t^A_j)^{q^h} + \eta_L(v^A_{h+j}))^{q^i} \\
&\quad \mod I^A_h + (t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}) \\
t^A_j\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^j} + \eta_R(v^A_{h+j}) &\equiv \eta_L(v^A_h)(t^A_j)^{q^h} + \eta_L(v^A_{h+j}) \\
&\quad \mod I^A_h + (t^A_1,\dots,t^A_{j-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

So the set $\{\eta_L(v^A_{h+j}), \eta_R(v^A_{h+j}) : j>0\} \cup \{v^A_h\}$ is algebraically independent in V^AT/I^A_h (i.e. it generates a free k-algebra in V^AT/I^A_h) and if $\eta_R(x)=\eta_L(x)$ then x is a k-polynomial in v^A_h. Hence $A = V^A\square_{V^AT}V^A$ and $k[v^A_h] = V^A\square_{V^AT}(V^A/I^A_h)$ for $h>0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.7.

$$0 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{2(q^h-1)} V^A/I_h^A \xrightarrow{v_h^A} V^A/I_h^A \longrightarrow V^A/I_{h+1}^A \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of V^AT-comodules.

Proof. Since $V^A \Box_{V^AT}(V^A/I_h^A) \cong \hom_{V^AT-\mathrm{comod}}(V^A, V^A/I_h^A)$ (see e.g. [10] Appendix 1), multiplication by v_h^A is a left V^AT-comodule map on V^A/I_h^A. It is clear that the cokernel is V^A/I_h^A as a V^A-module and its left V^AT-comodule structure is the same as that induced on V^A/I_h^A as a quotient of V^A. □

Proposition 3.8. Classification of invariant primes in (V^A, V^AT)**.** *Let $J \subseteq V^A$ be an invariant prime. Then $J = I_h^A$ for some $0 \leq h \leq \infty$, where $I_\infty^A = \bigcup_h I_h^A$.*

Proof. Suppose $J \subseteq V^A$ is an invariant prime such that $I_i^A \neq J$ for all finite $i \geq 0$. Let h be a nonnegative integer such that $I_h^A \subseteq J$ (this is at least true for $h = 0$). Let J' be the direct summand of J (since J is a graded A-module) consisting of the elements in the smallest dimension of J which has elements not contained in I_h^A. We choose an A-basis S for J and we put the lexicographic ordering on S (see Def. 3.5). Since this is a total ordering on any A-basis for S there will be a minimal element $x \in S$, and $\eta_R(x)$ will not contain terms of higher lexicographic order than x, so $\eta_R(x) = \eta_L(x)$, i.e., $x \in \mathrm{Cotor}^0_{V^AT}(V^A, V^A/I_h^A)$, so x is a power of v_h^A. Since J is prime we have $v_h^A \in J$ and $I_{h+1}^A \subseteq J$. By induction, $I_h^A \subseteq J$ for all finite $h \geq 0$, so $I_\infty^A \subseteq J$; but I_∞^A is maximal, so $I_\infty^A = J$. □

Recall that a sequence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{h-1})$ of elements in a polynomial algebra R over A is called *regular* if x_i is not a zero divisor in $R/(x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1})$ for all $i < h$, i.e.,

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{x_i} R/(x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \longrightarrow R/(x_0, \ldots, x_i) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $i < h$, and we know from Prop. 3.8 and Cor. 3.7 that, for $R = V^A$, the ideal $I = (x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1})$ is invariant if the above sequence is exact.

Corollary 3.9. The moduli stack of 1-dimensional formal A-modules is Cohen-Macaulay. *All invariant primes in V^A are regular.*

Remark 3.10. See [12] for some discussion of the Cohen-Macaulay condition on stacks—especially Ravenel stacks, which often (as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$) do not admit covers by noetherian schemes!—and its relation to regularity of invariant primes, as well as its cohomological significance.

Corollary 3.11. The A-height stratification. *For every positive integer $h > 0$ we have a closed reduced irreducible substack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$ of the fpqc moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ which classifies the formal A-modules of A-height greater than or equal to h. The inclusions $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ are affine, and the inclusions $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h-1}$ are affine and of codimension one.*

Proof. If (R, Γ) is a formally smooth, faithfully flat Hopf algebroid, then invariant prime ideals of (R, Γ) are in natural bijection with closed reduced irreducible substacks of the Ravenel stack associated to (R, Γ); see [11] for this fact. The only invariant prime ideals of (V^A, V^AT) are computed in Prop. 3.8, so the statements about codimension and affineness follow immediately. The fact that the closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ associated to the quotient Hopf algebroid $(V^A/I_n^A, V^AT/I_n^A)$ is homotopy equivalent to the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq n}$, this follows from the definition of A-height: if a formal A-module law over a ring S has A-height n, then its classifying map $V^A \to S$ must send I_n^A to zero, and any formal A-module laws over S classified by maps $V^A \to S$ sending I_n^A must be formal A-module laws of A-height n, as proven in [6]. □

Remark 3.12. A stratification of a stack by closed substacks with the property that the inclusion of each substack in the next larger one is an affine morphism and of codimension one, this kind of stratification is closely related to the notion of "purity" in algebraic geometry; see [2] for Grothendieck's original purity conjecture. Also see e.g. [3] for the purity of the Oort stratification, which is closely related to our A-height stratification, on the moduli stack of p-divisible groups of a fixed finite height; our result in Cor. 3.11 is the analogue, for one-dimensional formal A-modules, of de Jong-Oort purity.

Corollary 3.13. *Each stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$, as well as the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$, has exactly one Weil divisor, i.e., exactly one closed, reduced, irreducible, codimension 1 substack. That Weil divisor is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, i.e., the inclusion of the divisor is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant map of Ravenel stacks.*

4. Geometric points.

In this section we describe the geometric points of the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$; or in other words, the (homotopy classes of) Hopf algebroid maps $(V^A, V^AT) \to (k, k)$, where k is an algebraically closed field; or equivalently, the isomorphism classes of one-dimensional formal A-modules over algebraically closed fields. This is basically trivial because we have the classification of one-dimensional formal A-modules over algebraically closed fields by A-height (see e.g. 18.6.4 of [6]); so in this section there are no real proofs, just statements that follow from the A-height classification.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be the localization at p of a number ring. Then the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ has a countably infinite number of geometric points: for each positive integer h, a point classifying formal A-modules of A-height h; a point—the generic point—classifying formal A-modules over algebraically closed fields in which p is invertible, i.e., "height zero formal A-modules"; and a closed point classifying the infinite height formal A-module over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, i.e., the additive formal A-module over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Each geometric point of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ is one of the above types. The same is true if A is a p-adic number ring and we regard $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ as fibered over* Spec *A-schemes (not formal schemes over* Spf *A!).*

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that formal A-modules over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of the residue field are classified, up to isomorphism, by their A-height; see [6]. □

Corollary 4.2. *If A is the localization at p of a number ring, then for any positive integer h, the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$ has exactly one geometric point not in $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h+1}$. The stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ has exactly one geometric point—the generic point, classifying "height zero" formal modules—not in $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq 1}$. There is one geometric point, the infinite-height point, which is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$ for every h. The same is true if A is a p-adic number ring and we regard $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ as fibered over* Spec *A-schemes (not formal schemes over* Spf *A!).*

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a p-adic number ring. Then the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$, regarded as a stack fibered over formal schemes over* $\operatorname{Spf} A$ *with ideal of definition (π), has a countably infinite number of geometric points: for each positive integer h, a point classifying formal A-modules of A-height h; and and a (closed) point classifying the infinite height formal A-module over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, i.e., the additive formal A-module (which every formal A-module over a characteristic zero field is isomorphic to). (There is not a generic point classifying the additive formal A-module law because there are no characteristic zero fields over A which are complete in the π-adic topology.) Each geometric point of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ is one of the above points. The inclusion of stacks $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq 1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ induces a bijection on geometric points.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that formal A-modules over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of the residue field are classified, up to isomorphism, by their A-height; see [6]. If A is the localization at p of a number ring, then $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ has a generic point which is not in the image of the map $\mathcal{M}_{fm\hat{A}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fmA}$; this is because there do not exist formal schemes with ideal of definition (π) in which (π) is invertible. □

Corollary 4.4. *If A is a p-adic number ring, then for any positive integer h, the stack $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$, regarded as fibered over formal schemes over* $\operatorname{Spf} A$ *with ideal of definition (π), has exactly one geometric point not in $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h+1}$. There is one geometric point, the infinite-height point, which is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq h}$ for every h. The inclusion of stacks $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}^{\geq 1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ induces a bijection on geometric points.*

Let A be the localization or the completion at p of a p-adic number ring. Suppose F is a one-dimensional formal A-module law over an algebraically closed field $\overline{k}$. Give $\overline{k}$ the V^A-algebra structure induced by the map $V^A \rightarrow \overline{k}$ classifying F. Then, by a general moduli-theoretic argument, $\overline{k} \otimes_{V^A} V^A T \otimes_{V^A} \overline{k}$ is the Hopf algebra that corepresents the group scheme $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ (since, by convention, in this paper we only consider *strict* morphisms of formal groups and formal modules, by $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ we mean the *strict* automorphism group of F).

Still working over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, if F is of positive, finite height h, then "it is well-known" (see e.g. 23.1.6 of [6]) that the group scheme of not-necessarily-strict automorphisms of F is isomorphic to the constant profinite group scheme $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}^{\times}$, the group of units in the maximal order $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}$ in the Brauer invariant $1/h$ division algebra of center $K(A)$, where $K(A)$ is the fraction field of A. Let $K(A)$ have residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$; then the profinite group $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}^{\times}$ is isomorphic to the profinite group

$$\left(\mathcal{O}_{K(A)[\zeta_{q^h-1}]}\langle S\rangle / \left(S^h = \pi_K, \omega^\sigma S = S\omega\right)\right)^{\times},$$

the group of units in the division algebra obtained by adjoining, to the ring of integers in the p-adic number field $K(A)[\zeta_{q^h-1}]$ ($K(A)$ with a primitive (q^h-1)st root of unity adjoined) a noncommuting variable S such that S^h is equal to the uniformizer π_K of K, and with the twisted commutativity relation $\omega^\sigma S = S\omega$, where ω is any scalar in $\mathcal{O}_{K(A)[\zeta_{q^h-1}]}$ and ω^σ is a lift of Frobenius (on the residue field of $K(A)[\zeta_{q^h-1}]$) applied to ω.

In fact, the not-necessarily-strict automorphism group scheme of F is isomorphic to this constant profinite group scheme over a smaller field than $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$:

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be the localization at p (resp. completion at p) of a number ring, and let e be the ramification degree and f the residue degree of the field extension $K(A)/\mathbb{Q}_{(p)}$ (resp. $K(A)/\mathbb{Q}_p$), where $K(A)$ is the field of fractions of A. Let h be a positive integer and let F be a one-dimensional formal A-module law, of A-height h, over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of A. Then the not-necessarily-strict automorphism group scheme* $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$*, defined on the local étale site of* $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$*, has the following properties:*

(1) $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$ *is the limit of the finite group schemes* $\mathrm{nAut}(F_i)$ *of not-necessarily-strict automorphisms of the i-bud truncation of F. Hence* $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$ *is a profinite group scheme.*

(2) $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$ *is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}/\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-twist of the constant profinite group scheme $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}$. In other words, if k is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ containing $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}$, then the not-necessarily-strict automorphism group of F over k is just the not-necessarily-strict automorphism group of F over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}$, namely $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}}$. As a corollary,* $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$ *is an étale group scheme—an étale twist of a constant group scheme (see* [15] *for more on this terminology).*

(3) *Let σ be the group homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(A)}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{F}^{\times}_{p^{fh}}$ sending a not-necessarily-strict automorphism of F to its leading coefficient. The kernel of σ is a pro-p-group, and it is naturally isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$*, the strict automorphism group of F.*

Proof. (1) This follows immediately from the definition and basic properties of formal modules i-buds and the i-bud truncation of a formal module; see [7], for example.

(2) Let F' denote the underlying one-dimensional formal group law of F, i.e., we forget the action of A on F'; then F' has height efh (by e.g. [6] or just the definition of A-height), and it is known (as in e.g. [10] or [4]) that the not-necessarily-strict automorphism group scheme of F' (where here we mean automorphism as a formal group law) is isomorphic to the constant group scheme $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/efh,\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ as soon as F' is base-changed to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}$, i.e., $\mathrm{nAut}(F')$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}/\mathbb{F}_p$-twist of $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/efh,\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. Now the not-necessarily-strict automorphisms of the i-truncation of F are a sub-group-scheme of the not-necessarily-strict automorphisms of the i-truncation of F', and the latter is a constant finite group scheme after base change to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}$, hence so is the former. So $\mathrm{nAut}(F)$, the limit of the constant finite group schemes $\mathrm{nAut}(F_i)$, is also constant, after base change to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{efh}}$.

(3) Let σ' be the map $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/efh,\mathbb{Q}_p}} \xrightarrow{\sigma'} \mathbb{F}^{\times}_{p^{efh}}$ given by sending a not-necessarily-strict automorphism of F' to its first (linear) coefficient; then σ' is surjective with kernel a pro-p-group, as shown in e.g. [10]. That $\ker\sigma$ is the strict automorphism group of F and that $\ker\sigma'$ is the strict automorphism group of F', these facts both follow from the definition of a strict automorphism: an automorphism is strict iff its leading coefficient is one. We then have

the commutative diagram of groups, with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \ker\sigma \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(\hat{A}_p)}} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{F}^{\times}_{p^{fh}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \ker\sigma' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/efh,\mathbb{Q}_p}} \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] & \mathbb{F}^{\times}_{p^{efh}} \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The composite

$$\ker\sigma \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/h,K(\hat{A}_p)}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D_{1/efh,\mathbb{Q}_p}}$$

is a composite of two injective maps, hence it itself is injective; this composite factors through $\ker\sigma \to \ker\sigma'$, hence the map $\ker\sigma \to \ker\sigma'$ must also be injective; by [10], $\ker\sigma'$ is a pro-p-group, hence each of its finite truncations is a p-group, hence each of the finite truncations of $\ker\sigma$ is also a p-group, and $\ker\sigma$ is also a pro-p-group.

□

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be the completion at p of a number ring, and let e be the ramification degree and f the residue degree of the field extension $[K(A) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, where $K(A)$ is the field of fractions of A. Let F be a one-dimensional formal A-module law, of A-height 1, over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of A. Then we have a commutative diagram of profinite groups with exact rows:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K^{\mathrm{tr}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K^{\mathrm{nr}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{tr}}/K^{\mathrm{nr}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & 1 \arrow[d] \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Aut}(F) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{nAut}(F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathbb{F}^{\times}_{p^f} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & 1 \\
 & & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_K \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/(p^f-1)\mathbb{Z} & ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where by K^{ab} we mean the maximal abelian extension of K; by K^{nr} we mean the maximal unramified abelian extension of K; and by K^{tr} we mean the maximal tamely ramified abelian extension of K.

Proof. This is really a consequence of local class field theory, as in [1]. Local class field theory gives us the commutative diagram of profinite groups, with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & K^{\times} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K^{\mathrm{nr}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{nr}}/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & 1 \\
 & & & \hat{\mathbb{Z}} & ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and tells us that the pro-p-Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K^{\mathrm{tr}})$; these facts together with Prop 4.5 give us the result as stated. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let A be the localization at p (resp. completion at p) of a number ring, and let e be the ramification degree and f the residue degree of the field extension $K(A)/\mathbb{Q}_{(p)}$ (resp. $K(A)/\mathbb{Q}_p$), where $K(A)$ is the field of fractions of A. Let h be a positive integer and let F be a one-dimensional formal A-module law, of A-height h, over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of A. Let $V^A \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ be the map classifying F, and give $\mathbb{F}_{p^{fh}}$ the structure of a V^A-algebra by the composite of the ring-morphisms*

$$V^A \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{F}_{p^f} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^{fh}}$$

Then the Hopf algebra

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^{fh}} \otimes_{V^A} V^A T \otimes_{V^A} \mathbb{F}_{p^{fh}}$$

co-represents the profinite group $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^{fh}}$*-scheme* $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ *of strict automorphisms of F.*

In Cor. 5.6 and Cor. 6.9 we compute this Hopf algebra explicitly.

5. Equivariant thickenings of geometric points.

In this section we define and prove basic properties about V^A-algebras which are the formal A-module analogues of the coefficient rings $K(n)_*$ of the Morava K-theories. The reason that one works with $K(n)_*$, as a BP_*BP-comodule, and not merely $\mathbb{F}_p$ equipped with the BP_*-algebra structure map classifying the Honda height n formal group law, is that $K(n)_*$ is a *graded* BP_*BP-comodule, but the map $BP_* \to \mathbb{F}_p$ classifying a height n formal group law does not respect the gradings. For the same reasons we will need analogues of $K(n)_*$ for formal A-modules. In the language of stacks, these are $\mathbb{G}_m$*-equivariant thickenings of geometric points* of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$. This allows us to compute the ring structure on the analogues of Morava stabilizer algebras for formal A-module laws, i.e., the Hopf algebras co-representing the strict automorphism group schemes of the positive, finite A-height geometric points of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$; these computations are in Prop. 5.5 and Cor. 5.6. We get the coproduct on these Hopf algebras in the next section, in Cor. 6.9.

Proposition 5.1. *If F is a one-dimensional formal A-module of positive finite height over an algebraically closed field $\overline{k}$, we have the classifying map $V^A \to \overline{k}$, but this map does not respect gradings.*

Proof. To be a graded ring, the field $\overline{k}$ is necessarily concentrated in degree 0, but the only graded ring homomorphism $V^A \to \overline{k}$ would send all the generators V_n^A to zero, and such a map classifies the height ∞ formal A-module. □

Corollary 5.2. *The inclusions into $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ of the geometric points classifying formal A-modules of positive finite height are not $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant morphisms.*

We want to "thicken" each of these geometric points to produce $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant substacks of $\mathcal{M}_{fmA}$ whose (quasicoherent fpqc) cohomology agrees with that of the geometric point. We do this by factoring the ring homomorphism $V^A \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ classifying a formal A-module law over $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ through a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring homomorphism $V^A \to B$, where B is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative ring that is a "graded field" in the sense that every $\mathbb{Z}$-graded B-module is free as a B-module (however, B is not a field in the usual sense—while every nonzero homogeneous element of B will be invertible, there can exist nonzero nonhomogeneous noninvertible elements of B).

Definition 5.3. *Let A be the localization at p or the completion at p of a number ring, let h be a positive integer, and let F be the one-dimensional formal A-module law over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of A given by the ring-morphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q \\ V_i^A & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } i \neq h \\ 1 & \text{if } i = h. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

(Since, by Prop. 4.5, every one-dimensional formal A-module law of A-height h is isomorphic to F after base change to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}$, every one-dimensional A-height h formal A-module law is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}/\mathbb{F}_q$-twist of F, where e is the ramification degree of $K(A)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{(p)}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.) This classifying map factors through the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring-morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q[(V_h^A)^{\pm 1}] \\ V_i^A & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } i \neq h \\ V_h^A & \text{if } i = h. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

With this V^A-algebra structure on the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[(V_h^A)^{\pm 1}]$, we will refer to $\mathbb{F}_q[(V_h^A)^{\pm 1}]$ as an equivariant thickening of F.

We also have the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded V^A-algebra given by the graded ring-morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^A & \longrightarrow & A[V_h^A] \\ V_i^A & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } i \neq h \\ V_h^A & \text{if } i = h \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

and unless otherwise specified, when we speak of $A[V_h^A]$ as a V^A-algebra, it is this V^A-algebra structure which we will mean.

The V^A-algebra $A[V_h^A]$ classifies a certain kind of formal A-module:

Proposition 5.4. *Let A be the completion at p of a number ring. Given a positive integer h and an A-typical formal A-module law over R, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *For some choice of morphism $R' \xrightarrow{f} R$ of commutative A-algebras, such that the algebra structure map $A \rightarrow R'$ is injective, and some lift $\bar{F}$ of F to R', i.e., some $\bar{F}$ over R' such that $f(\bar{F}) = F$, the coefficients α_i of the logarithm*
$$\log_{\bar{F}}(X) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i X^{q^i}$$
satisfy
$$\alpha_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } h \nmid i \\ \pi_A^{-j} \left(\pi_A \alpha_h\right)^{\frac{q^{hj}-1}{q^h-1}} & \text{if } i = hj \end{array} \right. .$$
2. *The classifying map $V^A \xrightarrow{\gamma_F} R$ of F factors through $A[V_h^A]$.*

Proof. (1) **Condition 1 implies condition 2.** From what is given we know that the classifying map $V^A \rightarrow R$ factors through R'; we will show that the map $V^A \rightarrow R'$ factors through $A[V_h^A]$.

Suppose that $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i with $h \nmid i$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, $\gamma_F(V_i^A) = 0$ for all i with $h \nmid i$. We have $\alpha_h = \pi_A^{-1}\gamma_F(V_h^A)$. Suppose that $\gamma_F(V_{hj}^A) = 0$

for all j with $1 < j < j'$, with j, j' integers, and suppose the expression for α_{hi} in the statement of the proposition holds. We show that $\gamma_F(V^A_{hj'}) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_A^{-j'}(\pi_A\alpha_h)^{\frac{q^{hj'}-1}{q^h-1}} &= \gamma_F(\ell^A_{hj'}) \\ &= \pi_A^{-1}\sum_{a=0}^{hj'-1}\gamma_F(\ell^A_a)\gamma_F(V^A_{hj'-a})^{q^a} \\ &= \pi_A^{-1}(\gamma_F(V^A_{hj'}) + \gamma_F(\ell^A_{h(j'-1)})\gamma_F(V^A_h)^{q^{h(j'-1)}} \\ &= \pi_A^{-1}(\gamma_F(V^A_{hj'}) + (\pi_A^{-j'+1}(\pi_A\alpha_h)^{\frac{q^{h(j'-1)}-1}{q^h-1}})(\pi_A\alpha_h)^{q^{h(j'-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\gamma_F(V^A_{hj'}) = 0$. Induction finishes the argument.

(2) **Condition 2 implies condition 1.** We choose a commutative A-algebra R' and a morphism f; we want to construct a lift $\bar{F}$ of F to R' whose log coefficients are as described in condition 1. We will do this by factoring the map $A[V^A_h] \xrightarrow{\gamma} R$ through R': since $A[V^A_h]$ is a free A-algebra, we simply send V^A_h to any element in $f^{-1}(\gamma(V^A_h))$, and now $\bar{F}$ is the A-typical formal A-module law over R' classified by $V^A \rightarrow A[V^A_h] \rightarrow R'$.

Now we consider the logarithm of $\bar{F}$. We recall that $\alpha_i = \gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_i)$. If $\gamma_{\bar{F}}$ factors through $K^A(h)_*$ then $\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_i) = 0$ if the reduction of ℓ^A_i modulo $(v^A_1, v^A_2, \dots, v^A_{h-1}, v^A_{h+1}, \dots)$ is zero. By Prop. 2.7 this is true if $h \nmid i$. Now $\alpha_h = \gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_h) = \pi_A^{-1}\gamma(V^A_h)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_{hi}) &= \pi_A^{-1}\sum_{a=0}^{hi-1}\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_a)\gamma_{\bar{F}}(V^A_{hi-a})^{q^a} \\ &= \pi_A^{-1}\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\ell^A_{h(i-1)})\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\pi_A\ell^A_h)^{q^{h(i-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

A quick induction gives the desired condition on α_{hi}.

□

Proposition 5.5. *Let A be the completion of a number ring at a prime p, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the residue field of A. Let h be a positive integer. Then we have the isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}] \otimes_{V^A} V^AT \otimes_{V^A} \mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}] \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}][t^A_1, t^A_2, \dots]/(\{t^A_i(v^A_h)^{q^i} - v^A_h(t^A_i)^{q^h} : i \textit{ is a positive integer}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Tensoring V^AT on the left with $\mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}]$ produces $\mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}] \otimes_{V^A} V^AT \cong \mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}][t^A_1, t^A_2, \dots]$. The right unit formula (Prop. 2.10) gives us that $V^A \xrightarrow{\eta_R} \mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}] \otimes_{V^A} V^AT$ is determined by

$$\sum_{i \geq 0}{}^F t^A_i\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^i} = \sum_{j \geq 0}{}^F v^A_h(t^A_j)^{q^h},$$

and in each of these formal sums there is only one element in each grading. Matching gradings, we get $t^A_i\eta_R(v^A_h)^{q^i} = v^A_h(t^A_i)^{q^h}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}] \otimes_{V^A} V^AT \otimes_{V^A} \mathbb{F}_q[(V^A_h)^{\pm 1}]$, giving us the relation in the statement of the theorem. □

Since the sub-Hopf-algebroid (over A) of (V^A, V^AT) generated by $v^A_1, \dots, v^A_n$, $t^A_1, \dots, t^A_n$ classifies one-dimensional formal A-module law n-buds, we can get an explicit, element-wise description of the Hopf algebra corepresenting the profinite group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$:

Corollary 5.6.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{F}_q \otimes_{V^A} V^A T \otimes_{V^A} \mathbb{F}_q \\ \cong\ & \mathbb{F}_q[t_1^A, t_2^A, \dots]/(\{t_i^A - (t_i^A)^{q^h} : i \text{ is a positive integer}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We tensor the isomorphism of Prop. 5.5 over $\mathbb{F}_q[(V_h^A)^{\pm 1}]$ with $\mathbb{F}_q$ to get the result as stated. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let A be the completion at p of a number ring, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the residue field of A. Let e be the ramification degree of $K(A)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ Let h, i be positive integers and let F be a one-dimensional formal A-module law, over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}$, of A-height h. Let (iV^A, iV^AT) be the sub-Hopf-algebroid of (V^A, V^AT) which classifies formal A-module law i-buds. Then the automorphism group scheme of the i-bud truncation F_i of F is co-represented by the Hopf algebra*

$$\begin{aligned} & \hom_{\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}})}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}[\mathrm{Aut}(F_i)], \mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}) \\ \cong\ & \hom_{\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}})}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}\left[\mathrm{Syl}_p\left(\left(\frac{o_{K(A)[\zeta_{q^h-1}]}\langle S\rangle}{(S^h = \pi_K, \omega^\sigma S = S\omega, S^i = 0)}\right)^\times\right)\right], \mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}\right) \\ \cong\ & \mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}} \otimes_{iV^A} iV^AT \otimes_{iV^A} \mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}} \\ \cong\ & \mathbb{F}_{q^{eh}}[t_1^A, t_2^A, \dots, t_i^A]/(\{t_j^A - (t_j^A)^{q^h} : j \text{ is a positive integer}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, we still need to describe the coproduct on this Hopf algebra. We do this in the next section.

6. The coproduct map and the b^A elements.

In this section we derive a formula for the coproduct map in the Hopf algebroid (V^A, V^AT). This generalizes the formula for the coproduct map on (BP_*, BP_*BP), as in 4.3.13 of [10]. This formula also allows us to compute the coproduct map in our Morava stabilizer algebras for formal A-modules, the Hopf algebras in Cor. 5.6. In later papers we will use these results to compute the cohomology of these strict automorphism groups for formal A-modules of large height.

We recall the way that the coproduct map works on (V^A, V^AT). Ring maps from the diagram

$$X \cong \left(\begin{tikzcd}
V^A \arrow[dr, "\eta_L"] & \\
& V^AT \\
V^A \arrow[ur, "\eta_R"] \arrow[dr, "\eta_L"] & \\
& V^AT \\
V^A \arrow[ur, "\eta_R"] &
\end{tikzcd}\right)$$

to a commutative A-algebra R correspond to pairs of strict isomorphisms $F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H$ of A-typical formal A-module laws over R, and the map $V^AT \xrightarrow{\Delta} V^AT \otimes_{V^A} V^AT \cong \operatorname{colim} X$ classifies the composite of the two strict isomorphisms.

Proposition 6.1. *The coproduct on* (V^A, V^AT) *is determined by*

$$\sum_{i,m\geq 0} (\eta_L(\ell_m^A)\otimes 1)\Delta(t_i^A)^{q^m} = \sum_{i,j,m\geq 0} (\eta_L(\ell_m^A)(t_i^A)^{q^m}) \otimes (t_j^A)^{q^{i+m}}.$$

Proof. We have three A-typical formal A-module laws on $V^AT\otimes_{V^A} V^AT$, classified by the maps $\eta_L \otimes_{V^A} V^AT, V^AT\otimes_{V^A}\eta_R$, and $\eta_R\otimes_{V^A} V^AT = V^AT\otimes_{V^A}\eta_L$ which we will call F_1, F_2, F_3, respectively; and we have strict isomorphisms between them, classified by $V^AT\otimes_{V^A} V^A \xrightarrow{V^AT\otimes_{V^A}\eta_R} V^AT\otimes_{V^A} V^AT$ and $V^A\otimes_{V^A} V^AT \xrightarrow{\eta_L\otimes_{V^A} V^AT} V^AT\otimes_{V^A} V^AT$, and we will call them f_1, f_2, respectively. The coproduct map Δ is necessarily the classifying map of their composite. Using Prop. 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^{-1}(X) &= \sum_{i\geq 0}{}^{F_1}(t_i^A\otimes 1)X^{q^i},\\
f_2^{-1}(X) &= \sum_{j\geq 0}{}^{F_2}(1\otimes t_j^A)X^{q^j}, \text{ and}\\
(f_2\circ f_1)^{-1}(X) &= \sum_{i\geq 0}{}^{F_1}\Delta(t_i^A)X^{q^i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we simply manipulate the above equations in the appropriate way:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_2\circ f_1)^{-1}(X) &= f_1^{-1}\left(\sum_{j\ge 0}{}^{F_2}(1\otimes t_j^A)X^{q^j}\right)\\
&= \sum_{j\ge 0}{}^{F_1} f_1^{-1}((1\otimes t_j^A)X^{q^j})\\
&= \sum_{i,j\ge 0}{}^{F_1}(t_i^A\otimes 1)((1\otimes t_j^A)X^{q^j})^{q^i}\\
&= \sum_{i,j\ge 0}{}^{F_1}(t_i^A\otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i})X^{q^{i+j}}\\
&= \sum_{i\ge 0}{}^{F_1}\left(\sum_{0\le j\le i}{}^{F_1}(t_j^A)\otimes t_{i-j}^{q^j}\right)X^{q^i}\\
&= \sum_{i\ge 0}{}^{F_1}\Delta(t_i^A)X^{q^i},\ \text{i.e.,}\\
\sum_{i\ge 0}{}^{F_1}\Delta t_i^A &= \sum_{i,j\ge 0}{}^{F_1}(t_i^A)\otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i},\ \text{i.e.,}\\
\sum_{i,m\ge 0}(\eta_L(\ell_m^A)\otimes 1)\Delta(t_i^A)^{q^m} &= \sum_{i\ge 0}\log_{F_1}(\Delta(t_i^A))\\
&= \sum_{i,j\ge 0}\log_{F_1}((t_i^A)\otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i})\\
&= \sum_{i,j,m\ge 0}(\eta_L(\ell_m^A)\otimes 1)((t_i^A)\otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i})^{q^m}\\
&= \sum_{i,j,m\ge 0}(\eta_L(\ell_m^A)(t_i^A)^{q^m})\otimes (t_j^A)^{q^{i+m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We want to use Cor. 2.8 to make coproduct computations in (V^A, V^AT), and for that sake it will be useful to have a way of simplifying expressions of the form $\sum_{h,i}^F a_{h,i}$, where all h are positive integers and $a_{h,i}$ has dimension $2(q^h-1)$ in some graded commutative V^A-algebra D. We will accomplish this using Prop. 6.1: given our set of elements $\{a_{h,i}\}\subseteq D$ we define subsets A_h, B_h of D as follows: $A_h = B_h = \varnothing$ for $h\le 0$, while for $h>0$, we let $A_h=\{a_{h,i}\}$ and we define B_h recursively by

$$B_h = A_h \cup \bigcup_{|J|>0}\{v_J^A w_J^A(B_{h-||J||})\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *With notation as above,* $\sum_{h,i}^F a_{h,i} = \sum_{h>0}^F w_\varnothing(B_h)$*, where* F *is the formal group law on* D *induced by the* V^A*-module structure map* $V^A \longrightarrow D$.

Proof. This is exactly as in Ravenel 4.3.11: we observe that

$$\sum{}^F a_{h,i} = \sum_{0<h<m}{}^F w_\varnothing^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h<m,||J||+h\ge m}{}^F v_J^A w_J^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h\ge m}{}^F a_{h,i}$$

is true for $m = 1$, as it reads $\sum^F a_{h,i} = \sum_{h \geq 1}{}^F a_{h,i}$. We assume it is true for m and proceed by induction:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum{}^F a_{h,i} &= \sum_{0<h<m}{}^F w_{\varnothing}^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h<m, ||J||+h>m}{}^F v_J^A w_J^A(B_h) \\
&\quad +_F \sum_{h>m}{}^F a_{h,i} +_F \sum{}^F B_m \\
&= \sum_{0<h<m}{}^F w_{\varnothing}^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h<m, ||J||+h>m}{}^F v_J^A w_J^A(B_h) \\
&\quad +_F \sum_{h>m}{}^F a_{h,i} +_F \sum_J{}^F v_J^A w_J^A(B_m) \\
&= \sum_{0<h\leq m}{}^F w_{\varnothing}^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h\leq m, ||J||+h>m}{}^F v_J^A w_J^A(B_h) +_F \sum_{h>m}{}^F a_{h,i},
\end{aligned}$$

which is identical to the inductive hypothesis for $m+1$. This completes the induction. ☐

Proposition 6.3. *We define M_h as the set $\{t_i^A \otimes (t_{h-i}^A)^{q^i} : 0 \leq i \leq h\} \subseteq V^AT \otimes_{V^A} V^AT$ and we define Δ_h as the subset*

$$\Delta_h = M_h \cup \bigcup_{|J|>0} \{(\eta_L(v_J^A) \otimes 1) w_J^A(\Delta_{h-||J||})\}$$

of $V^AT \otimes_{V^A} V^AT$ (so the V^A-action is given by $\eta_L \otimes V^AT$). Then we have a formula for the coproduct Δ on t_h^A:

$$\Delta(t_h^A) = w_{\varnothing}^A(\Delta_h).$$

Proof. Prop. 6.1 implies that $\sum_{i\geq 0} \log_{F_1}(\Delta(t_i^A)) = \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \log_{F_1}(t_i^A \otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i})$, where F_1 is the formal A-module law induced on $V^AT \otimes_{V^A} V^AT$ by $\eta_L \otimes_{V^A} V^AT$; i.e., $\sum_{i\geq 0}^{F_1} \Delta(t_i^A) = \sum_{i,j\geq 0}^{F_1} t_i^A \otimes (t_j^A)^{q^i}$, and, matching gradings, $\Delta(t_i^A) = \sum_{0\leq j\leq i}^{F_1} t_j^A \otimes (t_{i-j}^A)^{q^j}$. The stated proposition is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.2. ☐

Lemma 6.4. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ have ramification degree e and uniformizer π, and let A be the ring of integers in K. Let m be any positive integer and let i be any positive integer with $i < e$. Then the polynomial $w_i^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is divisible by π in $A[X_1, \dots, X_m)$, and the polynomial $w_e^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is not divisible by π in $A[X_1, \dots, X_m]$.*

Proof. That $w_I^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is in $A[X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is Prop. 2.5. We will write w_i^A as shorthand for $w_i^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)$. We have

$$w_i^A = \frac{1}{\pi^i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^{q^i} - \left(w_0^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)\right)^{q^i}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{\pi^{i-j}} (w_j^A)^{q^{i-j}}.$$

Every multinomial coefficient appearing in the expansion of $\left(\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^{q^i} - \left(w_0^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)\right)^{q^i}\right)$ is an integer, hence every monomial in $\frac{1}{\pi^i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^{q^i} - \left(w_0^A(X_1, \dots, X_m)\right)^{q^i}\right)$ has π-adic valuation congruent to $-i$ modulo e; hence the lemma is true for $i = 1$. We proceed by induction: suppose the lemma is known to be true for all $i < k$, with $k < e$. Then $\frac{1}{\pi^{k-j}}(w_j^A)^{q^{k-j}}$ has π-adic valuation equal to $q^{k-j}\nu_\pi(w_j^A) - (k-j)$, which is positive if $k - j > 0$ and $\nu_\pi(w_j^A) > 0$ is positive; hence $\nu_\pi(w_k^A) > 0$. □

Definition 6.5. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ have ramification degree e, and let A be the ring of integers in K. For i a positive integer and j a nonnegative integer, we define the element $b_{i,j}^A \in V^A T \otimes_{V^A} V^A T$ by $b_{i,j}^A = w_{e(j+1)}^A(\Delta_i)$, where $e(j+1)$ is the sequence $(j+1, j+1, \dots, j+1)$ consisting of e copies of $j+1$.*

Lemma 6.6. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with uniformizer π, and let $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Let A be the ring of integers of K. Then, in $(V^A/(\pi), V^A T/(\pi))$,*

$$b_{i,j}^A = \left(b_{i,0}^A\right)^{p^{dj}}.$$

Proof. Let q be the cardinality of the residue field of A and let e be the ramification degree and f the residue degree of $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$. By equation 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j}^A &= w_{e(j+1)}^A(\Delta_i) \\ &\equiv w_e^A(\Delta_i)^{q^{je}} \mod (\pi) \\ &\equiv (b_{i,0}^A)^{p^{jef}} \mod (\pi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.7.
(1) *Reducing the formula for $\Delta(t_k^A)$ (Prop. 6.3) modulo I_h^A when $0 < k \leq (e+1)h$ yields the formula*

$$\Delta(t_k^A) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i^A \otimes (t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-eh} \left(\left(b_{k-eh-i,h-1}^A\right)^{q^i} \sum_{I \in S_{i,h,e}} \left(\eta_L(v_I^A) \otimes 1\right) \right),$$

where $S_{i,h,e}$ is the set of ordered partitions of $i + he$ of length e consisting of integers $\geq h$, i.e., the set of sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_e)$ of integers such that $a_i \geq h$ for each i and such that $\sum_{i=1}^{e} a_i = i + he$.

(2) *For any formal group law F on $V^A T$ we have*

$$\sum_{i,|I|\geq 0}{}^{F} [(-1)^{|I|}]_F(t_I^A (t_i^A)^{q^{||I||}}) = \sum_{i,|I|\geq 0}{}^{F} [(-1)^{|I|}]_F(t_i^A (t_I^A)^{q^i}) = 1.$$

(3) *Suppose $p > 2$ and let F be a p-typical formal group law, for instance, that underlying formal group law of an A-typical formal A-module law, where A is a p-adic number ring. Then $[-1]_F(X) = -X$.*

Proof. (1) We use Lemma 6.4 to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(t_k^A) &= w_{\varnothing}^A(\Delta_k) \\
&= w_{\varnothing}\left(\{t_i^A \otimes (t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i}\} \cup \{(\eta_L(v_I^A)\otimes 1)w_I^A(\Delta_{k-||I||})\}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i^A \otimes (t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-eh}\sum_{I\in S_{i,h,e}} (\eta_L(v_I^A)\otimes 1)w_I^A(\Delta_{k-(i+he)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i^A \otimes (t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-eh}\sum_{I\in S_{i,h,e}} (\eta_L(v_I^A)\otimes 1)(w_e^A(\Delta_{k-(i+he)}))^{q^{i+eh-e}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i^A \otimes (t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-eh} (b_{k-i-he,h-1}^A)^{q^i} \sum_{I\in S_{i,h,e}} (\eta_L(v_I^A)\otimes 1).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) This is exactly as in 4.3.16 of [10]: in the first expression, for each $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_h)$ with $h > 0$, the expression t_I^A appears twice, once as $t_I^A t_0^A$ and once as $t_{I'}^A (t_{i_h}^A)^{q^{||I'||}}$, where $I' = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{h-1})$. The two terms have opposite formal sign. In the second expression, we have t_I^A appearing once as $t_0^A t_I^A$ and once as $(t_{(i_2,\dots,i_h)}^A)^{q^{i_1}} t_{i_1}^A$, again with opposite formal sign.

(3) First we assume that F has a logarithm. Let $\log_F(X) = \sum_{i\geq 0}\lambda_i X^{q^i}$ and let $[-1]_F(X) = \sum_{i\geq 1} a_i X^i$. Then

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} a_i X^i + \lambda_1\left(\sum_{i\geq 1} a_i X^i\right)^p + \lambda_2\left(\sum_{i\geq 1} a_i X^i\right)^{p^2} + \cdots = -\sum_{i\geq 0}\lambda_i X^{p^i},$$

which immediately forces $a_1 = -\lambda_0 = -1$ and $a_i = 0$ for $1 < i < p$. Suppose $a_i = 0$ for $1 < i < p^j$, j some positive integer; then $a_{p^j} + \lambda_j a_1^{p^j} = -\lambda_j$, i.e., $a_{p^j} = 0$ (this fails at $p = 2$; instead we would get $a_{p^j} = -p\lambda_j$) and immediately $a_i = 0$ for $1 < i < p^{j+1}$. By induction we have the proposition as stated, for formal group laws with logarithms; if F does not have a logarithm, we can find a ring R mapping to the underlying ring of F with an FGL $\bar{F}$ over R inducing F, and such that $\bar{F}$ has a logarithm (the universal example of R is BP_*); let g be this morphism and we have $g(\bar{F}(X, -X)) = g(0) = 0 = F(g(X), g(-X))$, so $[-1]_F(X) = g(-X) = -X$.

□

Proposition 6.8. *Let h be a positive integer and let $N_h, R_h \subset V^A T$ be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
N_h &= \bigcup_{||I||+i+j=n} \{(-1)^{|I|} t_I^A (v_i^A(t_j^A))^{q^{||I||}}\} \\
R_h &= N_h \cup \bigcup_{||J||=i, 0<i<h} \{\eta_R(v_J^A) w_J^A(R_{h-i})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\eta_R(v_h^A) = w_{\varnothing}^A(R_h)$.

Proof. Let F be the formal group law on $V^A T$ induced by η_L, and then from the previous lemma, we begin with $1 = \sum^F_{j,|K|\geq 0}[(-1)^{|K|}]_F t_j^A (t_K^A)^{q^j}$, and taking the logarithm we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\geq 0}\ell_i^A &= \sum_{j,|K|\geq 0}(-1)^{|K|}\log_F(t_j^A(t_K^A)^{q^j}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,|K|\geq 0}\ell_i^A(-1)^{|K|}(t_j^A(t_K^A)^{q^j})^{q^i}\end{aligned}$$

We substitute this expression for $\sum_{i\geq 0}\ell_i^A$ into

$$\sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\ell_i^A(t_j^A)^{q^i}\eta_R(v_k^A)^{q^{i+j}} = \sum_{i,j\geq 0}\ell_i^A(v_j^A)^{q^i}(t_j^A)^{q^{i+j}},$$

which we get from Prop.2.10, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j\geq 0}\eta_R(\ell_i^A)\eta_R(v_j^A)^{q^i} &= \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\ell_i^A(t_j^A)^{q^i}\eta_R(v_k^A)^{q^{i+j}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j\geq 0}\ell_i^A(v_j^A)^{q^i}(t_j^A)^{q^{i+j}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_{i,j,|K|,l,m\geq 0}(-1)^{|K|}\ell_i^A(t_j^A)^{q^i}(t_K^A)^{q^{i+j}}(v_l^A)^{q^{i+j+||K||}}(t_m^A)^{q^{i+j+||K||+l}} \\ &= \sum_{i,|J|,k,l\geq 0}(-1)^{|J|}\eta_R(\ell_i^A)(t_J^A)^{q^i}(v_K^A)^{q^{i+||J||}}(t_l^A)^{q^{i+||J||+k}} \\ &= \sum_{i,|J|,k,l\geq 0}(-1)^{|J|}\eta_R(\ell_i^A)(t_J^A(v_k^A(t_l^A)^{q^k})^{q^{||K||}})^{q^i}\end{aligned}$$

and, letting F_2 denote the formal group law on V^AT induced by the right unit map (Ravenel uses $c(F)$ for this formal group law to emphasize that it is conjugate to the one induced by the left unit map), we apply $\log_{F_2}^{-1}$ to the expressions at the far left and right ends of this chain of equalities, and we get

$$\sum_{j\geq 0}{}^{F_2}\eta_R(v_j^A) = \sum_{|J|,k,l\geq 0}{}^{F_2}(-1)^{|J|}t_J^A(v_k^A(t_l^A)^{q^k})^{q^{||K||}},$$

and now applying Prop. 6.3 we get the proposition as stated. □

Corollary 6.9. *In the Hopf algebra*

$$\mathbb{F}_q\otimes_{V^A}V^AT\otimes_{V^A}\mathbb{F}_q\cong\mathbb{F}_q[t_1^A,\dots]/(t_j^A=(t_j^A)^{q^h}\ \textit{for all } j),$$

the coproduct is completely determined by the formula

$$\Delta(t_k^A)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}t_i^A\otimes(t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i}+\sum_{j=e}^{\lfloor k/h\rfloor}w_j^A(\Delta_{k-ih}^A)^{q^{i(h-1)}}, \tag{6.5}$$

where $\lfloor k/h\rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to k/h.

When $k\leq(e+1)h$, then this formula simplifies to

$$\Delta(t_k^A)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}t_i^A\otimes(t_{k-i}^A)^{q^i}+b_{k-eh,h-1}^A. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. We get formula 6.5 by imposing the relations $v_h^A = 1$ and $v_i^A = 0$ for $i \neq h$ on the formula for $\Delta(t_k^A)$ from Prop. 6.3, and using Lemma 6.4.

We get formula 6.6 by imposing the relations $v_h^A = 1$ and $v_i^A = 0$ for $i \neq h$ on the formula for $\Delta(t_k^A)$ from Prop. 6.3. □

References

[1] Algebraic number theory. Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society (a NATO Advanced Study Institute) with the support of the Inter national Mathematical Union. Edited by J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich. Academic Press, London, 1967.

[2] Cohomologie l-adique et fonctions L. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 589. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Edité par Luc Illusie.

[3] A. J. de Jong and F. Oort. Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(1):209–241, 2000.

[4] Ethan S. Devinatz and Michael J. Hopkins. The action of the Morava stabilizer group on the Lubin-Tate moduli space of lifts. Amer. J. Math., 117(3):669–710, 1995.

[5] Paul Goerss. Quasi-coherent sheaves on the moduli stack of formal groups. 2008.

[6] Michiel Hazewinkel. Formal groups and applications, volume 78 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.

[7] Michel Lazard. Commutative formal groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 443. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[8] Ethan Pribble. Algebraic stacks for stable homotopy theory and the algebraic chromatic convergence theorem. PhD in Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2004.

[9] Daniel Quillen. On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75:1293–1298, 1969.

[10] Douglas C. Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres, volume 121 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.

[11] Andrew Salch. Algebraic stacks and hopf algebroids. Forthcoming.

[12] Andrew Salch. Cousin complexes and Cohen-Macaulay conditions for stacks. Forthcoming.

[13] Andrew Salch. Formal a-modules under change of a. Submitted to J. Pure and Applied Algebra; also available on arXiv.

[14] Brian Smithling. On the moduli stack of commutative, 1-parameter formal groups. PhD in Mathematics, University of Chicago, 2008. To be published in J. Pure and Applied Algebra.

[15] William C. Waterhouse. Introduction to affine group schemes, volume 66 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.